

COUNCIL MINUTES

March 21, 2011

The regular Council Meeting was held on Monday, March 21, 2011 at 7:00 PM in the Euclid Municipal Center Council Chamber. Council President Holzheimer Gail presided.

Members Present: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Langman, Van Ho, Holzheimer Gail.

Excused: Wojtila (arrived 7:50 PM)

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to excuse Councilman Wojtila, Councilman Minarik seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Others Present: Mayor Cervenik. Law Director Frey, CS&ED Director Pietravoia, Finance Director Brett, Service Director Smith, Fire Chief Cosgriff, Police Chief Repicky, Mr. Sonnhalter, Clerk of Council Cahill.

A moment of silence was held for fallen Sandusky Police Officer Andrew S. Dunn.

COMMUNICATIONS

Councilman Van Ho moved to receive without objection a C1, C2, D6 liquor permit to Janki& Dev Inc., DBA Convenient Food Mart, 719 Babbitt Rd. Councilman Gilliam seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Unanimous.

COUNCIL MINUTES

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to receive the Council Minutes of March 7, 2011. Councilwoman Minarik seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

ADMINISTRATION REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS

Mayor Cervenik with Fire Chief Cosgriff administered the Oath of Office to new Cadet firefighters paramedics: Michael Seedhouse; Richard Palmisano; Alzono Cady; Paul Unger; James Burnette.

Mayor Cervenik presented Agnes Turk with a proclamation for being named Slovenian Federation Woman of the Year.

Mayor Cervenik – It is ironic that we administered the Oath of Office to five firefighters tonight. They are the recipients of the Euclid Chamber of Commerce Organization of the Year Award for the banquet this Thursday and we're very proud of that. It is a great honor for them and it is very nice that our Chamber of Commerce recognizes them.

For those of you who don't know, I know a lot of council people were at the Euclid Avenue Corridor Kick Off meeting, we did receive after our second request NOACA TLCI grant like we received for Downtown Euclid. I firmly expect that the success and development that has been seen in Downtown Euclid, in excess of \$16 million and I expect to give to you over the next month another \$2-\$3 million of investment in Downtown Euclid. I expect to see that same success happen on Euclid Ave. We have a great steering committee made up of residents, council people, business owners and stakeholders in the area. I firmly believe that if we follow the pattern and the game plan that we did for the Downtown Euclid TLCI, I think you're going to be extremely surprised what happens on Euclid Ave. and the Euclid Ave. Corridor over the next 3-4 years. It is a wonderful opportunity. Seeing the people at that meeting and knowing their excitement and their willingness to work and spend their time to make Euclid Ave. area a better place was very heartwarming and certainly is going to be things to talk about in the future.

We've been talking about how to communicate with our residents. We just recently decided that we are going to be sending to the various churches and organizations what we're calling a community news brief, about a half a page of news announcements of upcoming events, given to churches every other week so they can put it into their church bulletins, their newsletters, whatever they have, as well as organizations, things such as with April and August being computer recycling month. We feel it is important we get that information out to them. That's just one more small step we're doing in trying to communicate to our residents.

We got a capital budget meeting on Wednesday. I do apologize I had every intention of emailing you the budget either last night or this morning. You will get it tomorrow with some explanations with what is in there. Capital budget over the last few years with the many grants we received has gotten much more complicated and we wanted to make sure we had everything covered. Also many council people have given us ideas for things such as reverse 9-1-1 and we've had some special requests for certain streets to be repaved because of the extreme damage that has happened this winter so we're trying to fit all that in. I should be able to email the budget to you sometime tomorrow along with explanation. We will discuss it on Wednesday, except for one or two items. If we need a couple of meetings to discuss the capital budget, we can. As always Al Baucco will be there on Wednesday, he asked me to again make sure that we keep our borrowing below \$2 million. Well I did by about \$25,000 if Council approves what I present to them. We try and borrow \$2 million a year for our capital program, and that's approximately what we pay off in our debt.

Also there's been rumors, talks and an RFP out in the business arena about University Hospitals having some new construction on the corner of 185th and Lake Shore Blvd. I'm happy to tell you that is true. Members of University Hospitals staff, their real estate staff, will be coming in to see us Wednesday afternoon. When we know what their plans are, we will have a full report for City Council. From everything I've heard and the people I've talked to, I think it is going to be another great addition to the City of Euclid and more tax revenues coming in.

The Art Association Show is the week of April 10th. April 13th at the Euclid Public Library is their awards event. If you've never attended that, the local artists do some tremendous things, much of their artwork is out in the hallways and in the various offices of the City of Euclid, here at City Hall and other places, so I would strongly urge you to attend that.

Just ironically if anybody is looking for work, just before the meeting started, I hope it is not a message to me, but I received a computerized telephone call that there are a number of jobs at the News Herald to be paper carrier deliveries. If anybody is looking to do that in the City of Euclid, please call the News Herald immediately. They are looking for that.

Last but not least, I think the meeting is probably over by now, but we reconvened the Euclid Shade Tree Commission. I administered the Oath of Office to three new members, Brenda Hall, Eugene Gilliam and Shannon Swiatkowski as well as I re-appointed and administered the Oath of Office to Phyllis Miller who has been serving on that committee almost since it started. They will be working and busy planning the Arbor Day which is the last Friday in April. As well as beginning planning and production of the Euclid Pond and Garden Tour which is always a great weekend. I don't believe any of the Directors had announcements tonight, so that closes Administration Reports and Communications.

REPORTS & COMMITTEE MINUTES

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to receive the Fire Report of January, 2011; City Growth Com. Min.: 3/9/2011; Board of Control Min.: 2-28-11 & 3-7-11. Councilman Gilliam seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Lot Split

Council should approve or overrule Planning and Zoning Commission's approval of an application submitted Max Z Matteson, representing Sherman Properties, requesting the lot split of Permanent Parcel No. 647-30-008, located at 24112 Rockwell Drive, an 11.8091acre (514,405 square foot) site into three separate parcels. The new irregularly shaped parcels listed as "Split Parcel #1" will be 1.7886 acres (77,911 square feet); "Split Parcel #2" will be 5.7161 acres (248,992 square feet); and "Residual Parcel" will be 4.3044 acres (187,502 square feet). A Future Utility Easement between "Split Parcel #1" and "#2" will be recorded by deed. Said parcel would be in compliance with all applicable codes.

Director Pietravoia – Jim Sonnhalter is here this evening, he's been working with Powdermet and Mesocoat and he'll be providing the official report from the Planning & Zoning Commission for the record. Before he does that, I would like to welcome Max Matteson is here tonight, he's the facilities manager for Mesocoat and Powdermet. We're very excited, while this seems to be a routine and per functionary matter, it actually has some very good news associated with it and that is the expansion of Mesocoat, they will be putting up a new building on their campus, they'll be investing about \$6.5 million in the construction of that new building and related equipment. They will be creating over a period of time, 32 new jobs, essentially doubling both their jobs and payroll at this location. When everything is done with Powdermet and Mesocoat combined and Max can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe they will be at a \$5 million payroll when everything is said and done.

The construction on the new building is expected to start on April 15th. I'm sure Max is anxious to see that groundbreaking. There will be an official groundbreaking scheduled for April 7th. If you can mark your calendars we hope you will be able to join us for that. We'll get you details on the exact time on that day. They expect to be completed with construction in about three months, so by July. They'll install the equipment and machinery and hope to be up and running with the new building by September of this year. This is really exciting growth for the company. I know it has been a long time coming and we're glad to see it finally happening. I would like to have Jim give the official report from Planning & Zoning on the proposed lot split.

Mr. Sonnhalter – The following is the report to Council for 24112 Rockwell Dr. A lot split for Sherman Properties, Dba Mesocoat. On March 8, 2011 after public notice and at a regularly scheduled meeting, the Planning & Zoning Commission voted to recommend to Council approval of splitting one parcel into three lots as shown on the plat associated with these actions. There were no variances involved in the Planning & Zoning Commission action. The proposed lots are in conformance with all code provisions related to creating lots.

Two of the proposed lots have significant frontage on the Rockwell Dr. The remainder parcel which is in the rear will be served by both a general and utility easement which follows the location of the current Mesocoat driveway. This parcel also has a 20 ft. wide strip of land connecting to the right of way of Rockwell Dr. If there are any other related questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to suspend the rules to allow Mr. Matteson to speak. Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Mr. Matteson – Mesocoat is very proud to be able to expand in Euclid. This is going to be a production plant for advance surface modification on various different sub straights from pipeline, corrosion protection. Also to advancements in aircraft carrier landing deck coatings also. We have just successfully completed renovating the second floor of our existing building to allow for the expansion and office space that we need. This will basically be a production facility that will allow us to hopefully be online by September or October of this year, which will generate multi-million dollar income stream for coating of oil and gas pipeline. That's essentially it unless there is any other questions.

Councilman O'Neill – To reach out to Powdermet and thank you for doing business in the City of Euclid and thank you for staying in the City of Euclid. We look forward to doing future business with you.

Councilwoman Scarniench – I mentioned at the last meeting how the City of Euclid's our industries, our businesses are growing. This is another one of those examples. With everything that is going on all over the country and businesses are closing up and people are losing their jobs, not one of our businesses in Euclid, all our industrial businesses are growing and expanding. Thank you and we love doing business with you.

President Holzheimer Gail – We wish you much success and thank you for being here to answer questions.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to sustain Planning & Zoning Commission's approval of the lot split at 24112 Rockwell Dr. Councilman O'Neill seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Langman, Van Ho, Holzheimer Gail. Sustained.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR LEGISLATIVE MATTERS ONLY

Mr. Jeffrey Beck – 25540 Chatworth. #6, the resolution requiring the hiring of a professional design person any time public funds are at stake for the storefront renovation program. I urge all Council to vote for this, I think it is a good idea and it is probably a long time coming. The reason that this whole thing came about was because of some of the issues at the Lake Shore Plaza. I attended the Committee Meeting and was a little disappointed to find that the problems there have to be corrected and only brought to Ohio Revised code and not necessarily the agreement that they signed. I was a little disappointed by that that we have to pay them public monies to basically violate the agreement that they signed and how they were going to go out building that. I urge Council to approve this and hope it passes.

Then on items #8 & #9, I had a couple of questions. That's a lot of money. I'd like to know and you can answer that during the talk on this, and how much of that is covered by insurance and how much is our deductible. Did we ever catch who did this who burned that building down? Do we offer a reward for that because somebody in the city has to know what's going on with that? That's all, thank you.

President Holzheimer Gail – We'll make sure those get answered during the discussion. Seeing no other comments we'll move forward with legislation.

LEGISLATION

Ord. 26-2011 (259-11) Maximus Contract

An ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Service of the City of Euclid to execute a professional services contract with MAXIMUS Consulting Services, Inc., 7523 Fredle Drive, Concord Township, Ohio 44077, relating to the performance of audit and cost analysis studies of the City's Waste Water Treatment Plant for the year 2011 at a cost not to exceed Thirty Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Five Dollars (\$39,955.00). (Sponsored by Councilman Wojtila by request of Service Director)

Councilman Gilliam moved for passage, Councilwoman Scarniench seconded.

Director Smith – Tonight I am requesting your approval to enter into a contract with Maximus Consulting Services, Inc. The purpose of the contract is two-fold. Task #1 is to examine the long term control plan and Consent Decree elements of the Waste Water facilities in order to determine the associated rate impacts. Task #2 is to provide the annual update of the operating revenue requirements. The cost associated with Task #1 is in the amount of \$11,100. The cost associated with Task #2 is in the amount of \$28,855 which brings the total amount of the contract to \$39,955.

I would like to point out the hourly rate structure and hours to perform the report has been held at the 2009 rate. Funds to pay this expenditure will derive from Waste Water Treatment administrative funds.

Over the years Maximus has provided the detailed report, which has certainly helped ensure a high degree of credibility with the user communities and is therefore my recommendation to remain with this firm. I certainly appreciate your consideration of this matter and will be happy to answer any questions you may have.

I'd also like to point out, Mr. Fink is here from Maximus who has been associated with the report for many years and I'm sure he will participate in answering the questions should you have any.

Councilman Van Ho – Not a question about this contract but once again the names are getting very familiar. Do we take this out for proposal? I have nothing against Maximus. The last Maximus report I read was good but I think we need to have some change occasionally. That's my comments.

President Holzheimer Gail - Director Smith, do you know when the last time we bid this out?

Director Smith – I don't know the specific date. I know it has been Maximus now for a number of years. Prior to it was a firm that has branched off from Maximus, I believe at the current time. But I'm not aware of the specifics, perhaps Mr. Fink would be able to advise on that.

President Holzheimer Gail – We get some cost savings because they already have our data, they know our system better than another firm that would be coming in brand new.

Director Smith – That goes without question. As you're aware, I know all of Council receives the report, it is very detailed and I'm not aware of any issues, at least during my short time here that there's been a question with regards to how adequate the report is or anything of that nature.

Mayor Cervenik – I would also like to add that the role of Maximus and the previous firm that they're an offshoot of has gained the confidence of our outside communities as well. He works very closely with our outside communities. We'll be very much by his recommendations for rate setting as well.

Councilman Van Ho – I'm not questioning their competency. I'm not questioning their rates. I'm just saying we need to go out for proposal and let the chips fall where they may when this comes up next year. I'm going to vote for this because I believe their competent. Next year if it comes up and it hasn't been put out for proposal, I have no choice but to vote against it. Even if they get the award next year, I have no ax to grind with them. I'm just saying let's get it out there and let the marketplace take a look at it.

Councilman O'Neill – How much interaction with EPA does Maximus have?

Director Smith – I would say the interaction directly with the EPA is very minimal. The interaction between the EPA would be between the consultant and EPA and then there's a tremendous amount of conversations with both myself and CT Consultants over the conditions and terms associated with Consent Decree. So directly Maximus and EPA not necessarily, it is very indirect typically through the consultant or myself.

Councilwoman Minarik – I do have a question, in all respect to Mr. Fink. It says in the second whereas that we are required annually by the terms of the sewer service agreements with outside user communities to have an annual report. But lately we've been getting these reports every other year. So we're in violation with our agreement with the communities and I think if we're paying for this and we've agreed to it, we need a firm that will provide us with an annual report, not a bi-annual report.

The second question I have is in the contract, #3, it says that the consultant shall perform additional services such as appearing at meetings, testifying and other follow up services. We received the report in October, we've been trying to get a meeting together, and I'm not sure if it is Maximus or the EPA, somebody cannot make the meeting and so we still have not had a Service Committee meeting related to this Maximus report where rate increases were recommended.

I do think we should go out for bids because this is becoming a habit now that we're getting a report every other year, instead of every year as the surrounding communities deserve and as we deserve. I would like to know why we do not go out for bids? Thank you.

Director Smith – I know you mentioned the word habit, on more than one occasion and I'm not aware that this has been a habit. I believe it has occurred one time in the past. Okay I'm not aware of the second occasion but okay. There was some difficulty with regards to the information that was at hand and I know Mr. Fink can talk in detail about that. There has been some challenges associated with obtaining the information with getting accurate information and just compiling the report.

As far as Maximus and their work effort associated with the city, there was no additional cost. I know I pointed that out because that question had come up in the past. Nor have I ever heard any comment from any user community about the lack of a report. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but certainly they have raised no questions.

I do acknowledge that we had a meeting planned for December to review the report. There was, Mr. Fink had a matter that he needed to attend to, a medical matter that he needed to attend to. Then we were trying to couple that particular meeting with a meeting with CT Consultants in addition to our outside legal counsel to try to bring everything together. I do apologize if that has taken longer than certainly meets with your approval. That's the point on the upcoming meeting here in April.

Councilwoman Minarik – Why don't we go out for bids?

Director Smith – As far as going out for bids, I can accept total responsibility for that. Once again, I'm not aware that there has been any questions raised with the performance of the firm. Secondly I would say I'm getting the impression from some of the different discussions now we've had with Council, are we looking at the service provided or are we looking at the price? Typically when you go out and you solicit a proposal you're looking at the quality of the firm and not necessarily the price. Their price has been held from 2009 to present. Their hours have been held from 2009 to present. To say that if we go out if we want to shop for a rate, then perhaps we suffer the consequences of obtaining maybe not the best bang for the buck. There's a risk associated with that as well.

Mayor Cervenik – I will continue on that. With what we're going through with the EPA right now and trying to set rates, I think it would be remiss of us not to rehire Maximus. However, I have no problem next year going out and requesting proposals as Councilman Van Ho has asked for the next contract. Please understand when we do that, the contract, we pick the best contract, not necessarily the lowest cost. So, if someone comes in and tries to cut this price which is a very reasonable price if you look at the annual increases and none this time, it will be based upon the qualifications of our request for proposals. We can do that next year. I would be very uncomfortable at this stage of the game, assuming if everything goes as planned, coming to some agreement with the US EPA sometime this summer if not before to not hire Maximus to finish what they started for this process would not do any of us any good at all.

Councilwoman Minarik – My issue is not with the price, my issue was with the fact that we're getting reports every other year as opposed to every year which is the agreement. My issue was also with the fact that according to the contract, we needed a meeting and they were not able to make a meeting and that was December and this is mid-March now, okay. The meeting is now scheduled for April, so I really think perhaps it is the quality. We need someone who will give us a report every year, not every other year. Thank you.

President Holzheimer Gail – Mr. Fink, would you like to comment on any of this?

Councilwoman Minarik moved to suspend the rules. Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Mr. Bob Fink – With Maximus. Our office is in Concord Township at 7523 Fredle Dr. This issue of the report every other year is, I've done this work for the last 15 years here with the city. I have not missed but this last year. To say that it is every other year, I'm not sure where that's coming from. The fact I couldn't make the December meeting, I had an issue medically, can't help that. The delay on the report, as was mentioned, and everybody probably knows by now it is the quality of the reporting out of Cleveland Water to get data that means something that we could base rates on. I've been around a long time, so to say that I've done this report every other year is wrong.

Councilman Van Ho – My issue, once again, is not with Maximus, it is not with the quality of the work as far as the every other year I will admit that I didn't notice that. My issue is in appearance. There are a couple of firms that have been here, as I fondly refer to it, since Christ was a kid, and we keep going back to them without taking it out. We need to take it out to bid. If Maximus is the successful qualifications proposed, I will make a motion or second it next year, if it can be shown that they are the best and they may very well be, that's not it. It is not with anything they're doing, it is our internal systems, appearance, once again appearance that we need to deal with.

Mr. Fink – I would follow up with the Mayor and agree with the Mayor. We're already into this process with this EPA issue. If we're going to delay this, it delays the whole thing and you start over.

Councilman Van Ho – That's not what I'm asking.

President Holzheimer Gail – You're saying going forward and that's noted.

Councilman Van Ho – Move forward but don't do this next year in the same way in which we did it.

Mayor Cervenik – I believe I've already stated that we will do that.

Councilman Van Ho – I just want him to know that I'm not after him. I'm after getting the proposal, getting our systems to do as they should be. That doesn't mean that we have to put this out every year, we put it out every 3-5 years, if you want to, that's still within reasonable scope. But, did you say 15?

Mr. Fink – Yes sir.

Councilman Van Ho – That's stretching it a little bit long.

Councilman Wojtila – My comment would be that similar to what Councilman Van Ho is saying. With the expertise and the knowledge that Maximus has on our system, re-use of them makes sense. The fact that their rate had not gone up since the previous time, to me it makes good sense to use them again. If down the road things change, then yes we ought to be looking at getting, and I don't want to say competitive bidding, but get comparable proposals from other suitable firms. I'll support this and again if things change down the road, we ought to be looking at going out to the community, get other proposals from firms like Maximus.

Councilman O'Neill – To Mr. Fink, I support this legislation but is there any issue next year with putting a bid forth to the City of Euclid for this work next year?

Mr. Fink – From my perspective, no.

Councilman Gilliam – My only comment is that we're dealing with the issue today. I think a committee meeting could be set up to look at these issues at a later date. It is obvious that Maximus has been here for 15 years and are familiar with our system. The need for this particular consultant job is today. So I have no adverse opinion for Maximus. But maybe we could discuss these issues in a committee meeting so that we could have more time to focus on those. That's just my comment, thank you.

Councilman Van Ho moved to close debate, Councilman Langman seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Councilwoman Jones moved to suspend the rules, Councilman Gilliam seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho, Holzheimer Gail.

Nay: Minarik

Passed.

Res. 27-2011 (245a-11) Shore & Golf Course Operating Budget

A resolution to adopt the 2011 operating budget for the Shore Cultural Centre and Briardale Greens Golf Course as attached herein. (Sponsored by Mayor Cervenik)

Councilman Langman moved for passage, Councilman Wojtila seconded.

Mayor Cervenik – As City Council passed the general fund budget, over the past few years we have privatized two areas of operations, one being the golf course and one being the Shore Cultural Centre. The golf course being operated by Billy Casper golf and the Shore Cultural Centre being operated by Coral Group. I have to ask for an amendment here, I know Councilwoman Scarniench is intending on having a meeting sometime in May to discuss the progress that the Coral Group has made. For that reason and for the reason that of the \$138,000 that City Council allocated to Shore Cultural Centre in September 2010, their budget here shows for 2011 a total deficit of \$122,000. Out of that \$138,000 that you allocated to the Shore fund, we began the year with approximately \$78,000 so we couldn't approve this whole budget tonight at \$122,000. I would ask that we amend it to approve the Shore Budget through June 30th, that would be more than covered with the \$78,000 and then when you have your meeting in April, May or June, if you decide and hopefully to move forward, it will require some budget amendment to get through December 2011. If there could be a motion to amend that we're approving the Golf Course budget through the end of the year and the Shore Cultural Centre Budget, Coral's budget through June 30th, that will keep us in line with what we are required to do by the Auditor's office.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to amend to adopt Shore Cultural Centre's budget through June 30, 2011. Councilman Langman seconded.

President Holzheimer Gail – Any questions on the motion to amend?

Councilman Van Ho – That means that we could be on the hook for additional dollars, is that correct Mayor?

Mayor Cervenik – We can always be on the hook for additional dollars depending on what happens. I will tell you that in my conversations with the Coral Group explaining to them my dilemma of not being able to approve more than was allocated in the General Fund budget right now, their numbers here, just from the leases I've signed in the past 3-4 months, that additional revenue is not reflected in these numbers. They didn't want to be put into a position where they were going to change the budget that was originally presented to us. This is the budget that was originally presented to us, the \$138,000 that was put into the Shore account, was to get them through June or July, I'm sorry September 30th actually, depending on what action Council takes after their discussions in the Assets Committee.

I firmly believe that the Coral Group will come to you at those meetings and you will see that they will be much closer to the \$78,000 that we allocated at the beginning of this year than the negative \$122,000 that is shown here. Can I tell you for sure you're on the hook? No I can't. No more than I can tell you what we're going to be on the hook for with the State budget. Because I firmly don't believe the State budget is going to affect us as strongly as has been proposed to the House and Senate in Ohio. Many of the House of Representatives and Senators were former Mayors and former Council people and they know what damage that can cause.

I would strongly recommend that you pass as is through June 30th and allow Coral to make that presentation. As they told you they will show you their success when we have that meeting in May. I think that would be the prudent thing to do this evening.

Councilman O'Neill – So what you're telling me is that they came up short on their projections on the budget to run Shore?

Mayor Cervenik – No, that's not what I'm telling you at all. What I'm telling you is I believe \$138,000 came about was that would get them through September 30th and it will be very close to doing that, it probably will do that. We had planned on having an Assets Committee Meeting in May. Council would make the decision in June and if Council determined that they no longer wanted to employ Coral to manage the building, there would be a 90 day window before that contract is cancelled.

Councilman O'Neill – By approving this ordinance, it allows not only the golf course but Shore to continue to the end of June of this year.

Mayor Cervenik – No, it will allow the golf course continue through the whole year. The golf course is not looking for any. The golf course is asking for no additional funds outside of what their budget requests. The way this budget is presented, enough dollars were not allocated when we passed the budget to get us through December, but it was never intended to do so. The \$138,000 was intended to get Coral where they needed to be until City Council made a commitment and that's what you agreed to from my understand is that we would have a meeting in May and then the final formal commitment to support the 5 year plan, the strategic plan, or to reject it would be done at that time. But it is my understanding at that time Council is either going to approve it for the 5 years, finish the 5 years so that we have an opportunity to reach the full potential. Or, Council is going to say, no we don't think you can reach that 5 year plan and pull the carpet. One way or the other there's finality in your decision. The action that I asked you to take tonight in amending this budget to June 30th has nothing to do with any shortfall from Coral. It has to do with the amount of money we allocated to get through September 30th.

Councilman O'Neill – I want to stick with the motion that's on the floor, but I do have a comment as we go to full vote. I will hold further comment.

Councilwoman Scarniench – I think the amendment is a good thing. If we were to go ahead without the amendment, I would not support this at all for exactly what the Mayor just got through saying. We said we would do this in May. I've just set the meeting date, it will be May 11th at 6:30. We will have our Assets Meeting and we will find out where we are and what we are doing. Most of us up here said we had no more money to give. They're going to have to be able to show us that the money is there because I can't ask the residents of this city to pay any more money out. May 11th is the date by changing this and only making it until June 30th, I'm more comfortable with that. I could not support going through the whole year with that.

Councilwoman Jones – I don't have a question about the amendment, just a general question regarding the two budgets.

President Holzheimer Gail – Okay, save that. Any other questions on the amendment? Let's do roll call on the amendment to change the Shore budget to June 30, 2011.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Holzheimer Gail.

Nay: Van Ho

Amendment passed.

Councilwoman Jones – Mayor Cervenik or whoever can answer the question. I think it was maybe about a month ago we did a transfer to the Golf Course for I think it was \$35,000. I don't see that in this budget or am I overlooking it as far as being paid back?

Mayor Cervenik – Page 2 there's a whole bunch of dashes and down below cash flow adjustments at \$35,000 came in in January and it will be paid back no longer than August. It is included in the total budget. I do admit it is printed pretty small. I'm going to talk to Nick about printing it bigger.

Councilwoman Jones – My other question is, just a general question regarding the Shore budget. There's a line item for trash disposal, is that dumpster trash removal?

Mayor Cervenik – I would assume so.

Councilwoman Jones – Does Shore participate in the recycling program?

Mayor Cervenik – I do not believe so and that's not our trash hauler, it is not J&J either. They've contracted for their own trash hauler, they felt they got a better price.

Councilwoman Jones – They can still participate in the recycle program?

Mayor Cervenik – Yes, they could. I will talk to them about that.

Councilwoman Jones – I'm thinking a building that size it would add to the amount of tonnage that we're trying to collect for our recycling and to be able to collect it all in that one building, since we are trying to, and I don't know, is their trash disposal based on the weight of the trash or is it based on the pick up?

Mayor Cervenik – Technically most of those private contracts are based on the number of times they empty the trash containers. The answer would more than likely be that yes, the less the trash that was put into the container would probably save them some money, yes.

Councilwoman Jones – If they could be a part of the recycling program that would not only benefit them, but it would benefit us as well.

Mayor Cervenik – It would benefit us to a much lesser extent, but it would benefit them yes because they're not in our revenue stream we would not be saving the \$40 per ton that we're saving from our residents because they're not using our services. But we would generate some of the revenue provided it could be done in an economic way for us, there are logistics to picking it up. If in fact we have to, if they put the recycling in a pan and we have to pay to haul that pan away, then it doesn't do anything for us at all, it would actually cost us money. That's why we wanted the second used recycling truck so we would avoid having J&J pull the pans because that's \$270 a pan and it gets very expensive. I will talk to Laura.

Councilwoman Jones – I'm thinking there should be some type of container that we can collect that in to take it out of. The other thing that would promote a communication as far as recycling. There's a lot of people that go in and out of that building so if they see that Shore is also participating in the recycling program, that may bring to light those that don't recycle or don't know about the recycling program, to maybe want to participate as well.

Mayor Cervenik – I would say to you that if in fact doing that recycling would save Shore money, then I would suggest that Shore do that. I don't know that it would be our responsibility to absorb any cost in pulling pans of recycled material out of there. If Coral feels it will save them money by recycling, they should do that to help protect the dollars that we are transferring to them on a daily basis. Having said that, I will talk with Laura and I will discuss it with Randy Smith and our representatives from J&J and see if there's something we can work out for that.

Councilman O'Neill – This is a request, I know we did this last year but, in the future I would like to see this legislation be separated, the golf course and Shore, two separate pieces of legislation. I believe they really need to be independent and not voted together. Thank you.

Councilman Gilliam – Mayor if you could just answer one question, one big ticket item is the cost of heating, the gas. Is the Shore Cultural Centre eligible to be part of an aggregate program? Or, because of the size of it, it is ineligible? That was my concern because it is a negative 18% and that's really the big chunk of it is the utility cost for that building.

Mayor Cervenik – They are part of our IGS aggregation program so they are receiving the savings. We actually had one of the best rates at \$6.43 during the wintertime. We're down to \$5.28 for the summertime, from May 1st until September 30th. They are part of that. They had some delay, as you know City Council approved the purchase and installation of a new boiler as well as the thermostats. There was some delay in doing that but they wanted to make sure it was done correctly. So some of those savings you're going to see reflected probably in October, November and December at the end of the year if Council allows it to continue. They didn't achieve quite the same savings in February and March of this year.

Councilman Van Ho – I echo Councilman O'Neill's, in this two separate resolutions. This particular resolution I'm going to vote against because of Shore and I hate to be voting against the golf course. If we could do it next year, make it two separate, it doesn't look like it would be rocket science.

Mayor Cervenik – I would only like to state that voting yes on this legislation only confirms the approval of the 2011 budget. There was \$78,000 approved by those that voted yes on the budget to support Shore. That doesn't change by action tonight. Tonight we just need to for the County Auditor's requirements, State Auditor's requirements that we approve this portion of the budget as well. Again, any council person can do as they feel comfortable with tonight. By voting yes on the budget for 2011, you've already voted to support this as well. That is strictly up to you.

Councilman Van Ho – I can't vote for anything that's going to increase our liability to Shore at this point. We said it was the end of the money train in June. I've got streets that need to be paved that we could use an extra quarter of a million which is about what it looks like we're going to end up putting in. Do I tell my residents just forget those chuckholes that are tearing up your suspension center? At least we've got a community center that they don't see?

President Holzheimer Gail – Again, we're not asking for any additional allocation at this point, nor are we saying that we necessarily will. We're going to discuss that again in May.

Councilman Langman – Yes, we will have all those conversations in May, but just to be clear, the chuckholes in the street is not what we're talking about to operate Shore, it comes from a different fund, that's capital monies. I don't want folks to get the wrong impression. This is a relatively routine matter and we're going to have the substantive conversations in May. We can start picking apart Shore tonight, but I really don't think it is the time or place. Coral will come in and give their presentation, give us where they are and what we approved, not only with the boiler but with the Development Director. We'll see where they're at on that particular line of action and then we can make an intelligent decision. I don't think we should be pitting streets versus Shore because that's not really a true statement. With that, I will make a motion to close debate.

President Holzheimer Gail – Before you do that, Councilwoman Minarik wanted to ask a question.

Councilwoman Minarik – To follow up on Councilman Langman. The Council didn't have any problem every year we increase how much we transfer to the Recreation Dept. This Council has no problem giving \$260,000 to the Recreation Dept. I believe since Coral has taken over Shore the numbers of visitors to that building on a weekly basis has doubled. Expenses have gone down. To say well I don't want to give \$120,000 to that building any more, first of all we don't know who's walking into the building but we know a significant number of people are. Secondly when you don't blink an eye about transferring \$260,000 to Rec and you knit pick Shore to death, I just think it is out of place, but we will have this discussion in May.

Councilman O'Neill – I don't want to beat this dead horse but everyone knows that I've been pretty hawk-ish on Shore. I will vote yes on this legislation to get us to the June 30th. We will have our meeting in June and Coral will have to present their performance and what is happening at Shore. Again all those questions will be asked. I have a host of questions that I want to ask myself and maybe some public input from both sides the pro-Shore and the folks that are not necessarily for Shore. I believe that's the time for those questions so we can move on with this legislation. I will second the motion on the floor if there is no other discussion.

President Holzheimer Gail – Councilman Van Ho has been trying to get in and then I will take your second.

Councilman Van Ho – A question, Mayor when we were talking this morning, it was my understanding that this would come out of general fund, or did I misunderstand?

Mayor Cervenik – Right now I believe Council did that transfer out of the Endowment Fund which you could call the general fund if you so desired. It is the general fund with restrictions. So it really came out of restricted fund. I'm not sure what the balance will be in the Endowment Fund in June if Council decided to go forward. It would definitely not come out of the capital fund so it would either come out of the Endowment Fund or the General Fund. I will say and this may surprise some people, I am working with Sara the new development director. We plan on inviting next month probably about 20 business people to a special lunch at the Culinary School and have them be served by the students of the culinary school. Sara is going to talk to the various CEO's about how they maybe able to help in sponsoring Shore Cultural Centre and do some things and possibly get some board members from some of our larger businesses in order to alleviate some of the pressure on our general fund. We have no idea what kind of success we're going to have, but we feel it is important to get businesses and residents into that building and see what is going on there. This doesn't sound like maybe the Bill Cervenik of years ago, but I have seen some progress made there and I'm doing what I can on my part as the Mayor to help them succeed. Will we succeed soon enough to allow Council to keep this program going in June, that is certainly up to you. I will tell you they are working hard, they are working diligently and we'll see what happens.

President Holzheimer Gail – And having some success.

Mayor Cervenik – Exactly.

Councilman Langman moved to close debate, Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Holzheimer Gail.

Nay: Van Ho.

Passed as amended.

Res. 28-2011 (254-11) Dogs at Park for Spring Fling

A resolution to permit dogs that are leashed, licensed and accompanied by their owner or other responsible adult on the grounds of the Euclid Park and Lakefront Community Center for the Spring & Wellness Fling to be held on Saturday, April 16, 2011. (Sponsored by Councilpersons O'Neill and Van Ho)

Councilman O'Neill moved for passage, Councilman Van Ho seconded.

Councilman O'Neill – This is basically a one shot deal. It is the same thing that was done two previous years at the Henn Mansion. It is not approving dogs at the Lakefront Center carte blanche, it is just for one day. It is pretty much the same event that took place at Henn Mansion and has been moved over to the Lakefront Center and it has added a little more flavor by Kathy Will who runs the center. I strongly urge my council members to approve this and hopefully it will bring more people who have not seen the Lakefront Center and what a wonderful place it is and maybe bolster some of the rentals of the party center itself.

Councilman Langman – My only kick is that we were told that we were going to move it here. I would have preferred to have been asked for my opinion. I don't have any problem with moving it there but we have two nice amenities that are on the Lake and Henn being one of them. I'm hoping that we can rotate between the two facilities so we can showcase every other year or every two years at one place or another, both the Henn Mansion and the Lakefront Community Center.

Mayor Cervenik – That maybe very possible. Kathy Will was going to be here tonight but she's home with her daughter. She has over, I'm getting used to this thing. She has over 20 companies joining her from ASNE which is our senior service network. They will be doing wellness checks at the Community Center and also be there that day. If it rains or it is cold, we can have the event inside without the muddy dogs. There will be an area the dogs can hang out if the owners want to come inside. Petpals will be there. There will be some entertainment and certainly last but not least, the man of the hour is Dick Goddard and he will be there as well. We'll see how it goes this year. To have 20 organizations at the Henn Mansion might be a little tough. We'll definitely take that under consideration and yes you probably should have been told earlier.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to close debate, Councilman Van Ho seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail.

Passed.

Ord. (252-11) Amend Code Dogs in Parks – Parks & Rec Com.

An ordinance amending Section 947.04, "Animals and Dogs" of the Streets, Utilities and Public Services Code of the Codified Ordinances for the City of Euclid. (Sponsored by Councilperson Van Ho)

Councilman Van Ho moved for passage, Councilwoman Minarik seconded.

Councilman Van Ho – Number one I would like to have this a first reading so we can get public input at the next Council Meeting and then possibly pass it or turn it down. I have two other comments after having gone through this. I never thought it would be this hard to get man's best friend to be able to walk in the park. The other thing, I think this is a good piece of legislation. We had a significant number of residents who have dogs and they should be able to use the park too. If other council members have some amendments that they'd like to offer, that's fine. I talked to Councilman O'Neill earlier today and he had a couple of things about police dogs and service dogs being able to be off the leashes for training purposes. If there are things like that which we need to add to this, that would be great. We need to at least, I talked to one lady today who says she has several good ideas. Let's let the public give us some input.

Councilman O'Neill – My suggestion would be maybe not a first reading, maybe we send it to Committee and allow a pretty good discussion on it and allow folks from the general public to speak to it. I have about 5-6 things off the top of my head but I'd rather speak to that in committee rather than at a council meeting.

Councilman Van Ho – I guess I'm a little weary of sending things to Committee for two reasons. Number one, unless this committee meeting would be vastly different than most of them, we're going to be the only ones here. I think if somebody wants to do something to do this, it should be done in the light of day, with the TV cameras on and so forth. I have no problems discussing it, we suspend the rules, and let them talk if they have valuable ideas. We do that all the time.

President Holzheimer Gail – We do, but with all due respect, those discussions, that is what committees are for. Whatever is the wish of Council.

Councilman Langman – Law Director Frey in preparation of either second or third reading or committee meeting, can we take a survey of what other communities do. I know Cleveland Heights doesn't allow, Lakewood doesn't allow, Richmond Heights you have to have a special registration for the dog. Some communities allow it. Also, obviously this ordinance as is has been on the books for many, many years. Sometimes it is good to take a look back to try to understand the reasoning behind such ordinances and why they were passed. I don't think it was done in a willy-nilly fashion or people don't like dogs, but there are other considerations that we have to look at. One being that some people don't like dogs, some children don't like dogs and it is virtually impossible to enforce leash laws and picking up waste ordinances, etc. I think all of that needs to be part of a discussion. All the committee meetings that I've attended have been televised so the public can know what we're talking about, do know what we're talking about. They welcome to come and talk. I think in a round about way I will second Councilman O'Neill's motion to send it to committee.

President Holzheimer Gail – I didn't hear a formal motion. He suggested, did not make the motion.

Councilman O'Neill – Point of order, Councilman Van Ho asked for a first reading, does he need a second on that? Because there is no second we can go ahead and make the motion to send to committee?

Director Frey – If there's not unanimous or majority of council wanting this to be first reading, you'll have to make a decision as to what you'll want to do with it.

President Holzheimer Gail – There wasn't a formal motion.

Director Frey – Somebody needs to move it, well it is the first reading unless you waive the readings.

President Holzheimer Gail – We typically waive the readings at the end when we say motion to suspend the rules. That's the waiving of the readings.

Councilman O'Neill – I'm willing to make a motion to send this to Committee. Parks & Rec would be appropriate.

Councilwoman Minarik – I am totally in favor of this ordinance. Just so the people at home, the few of you who are watching. The major change to the ordinance says all dogs brought into the park shall be at all times kept confined either in the vehicle of the owner or harbored of such dog, or upon an adequate leash not longer than 20 ft. and shall at all times be kept under the control of the person bringing the dog within the park. It shall not be permitted to annoy other users of the park. The owner or harbored of such dog shall clean any animal waste left by the dog anywhere within the park property.

That being said, there is no place to walk my dogs. I have now three dogs because I had to rescue another one.

President Holzheimer Gail – Councilwoman Minarik, could you keep it to the motion to send it to committee or not to send it to committee.

Councilwoman Minarik – I'm sorry. I think we should continue with three readings. I read that paragraph so the people can hear it so they can come and have conversation and I think that is possible. We don't need to discuss this in a committee meeting that will take a half hour or 45 minutes, maybe longer. We have a lot already in committee. If we need to discuss it, let's discuss it here over the next three meetings and vote on it. Thank you.

President Holzheimer Gail – My opinion is when things need a half hour discussion, that is why we have committees. Any discussion on the motion to send to Parks & Rec Committee? Roll Call please.

Councilman O'Neill moved Ord. (252-11) to Parks & Recreation Committee. Councilman Langman seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, O'Neill, Langman, Holzheimer Gail

Nays: Minarik, Wojtila, Van Ho

Sent to Parks & Recreation Committee.

President Holzheimer Gail – We will work with Councilwoman Jones to have a productive and quick committee meeting.

Res. (251-11) Memorial Park Concept Plan – P&Z & Parks & Rec Com

A resolution approving and accepting the Memorial Park Concept Plan, dated March, 2011, as approved by the City of Euclid Planning and Zoning Commission as an official addendum to the City's 1996 Master Plan. (Sponsored by Mayor Cervenik and Councilpersons Jones and Wojtila)

Councilman Wojtila moved for passage, Councilman O'Neill seconded.

President Holzheimer Gail – Councilwoman Jones was hoping to speak to this but had to take a call from work. Councilman Wojtila I hope you are prepared to make some initial remarks.

Councilman Wojtila – I am proud to be a co-sponsor of this legislation and I was the Committee Chairman for the Memorial Park Concept plan that we've worked on diligently over approximately the last year and a half. We are requesting that this be sent to Planning & Zoning Committee and Recreation Committee of Council. All amendments to the Master Plan do have to go to Planning Commission for referral back to this council for approval.

There is a date set up for that joint committee meeting, it will be a special meeting April 19th. That committee meeting will be chaired by Planning & Zoning with Recreation Committee of Council. It is our hope and the full Memorial Park Concept Plan Committee that we get a lot of feedback from the community. We did have public meeting about a year ago, slightly less than a year ago. We do hope that there is a lot of input at the meeting. I don't know if the plan is available on our website or if we could post it to our website, it gives us about a month to go through the draft plan that's presented today is being introduced today.

It's been a lot of work on the committee's part. We've had many, many meetings and we're proud of the outcome that we had. We look forward to the additional input that we'll receive and then coming back to Council for the full approval hopefully late April early May. I want to re-state this concept plan was done totally in-house, we spent no money on that and we're real proud of the final product. Thank you.

President Holzheimer Gail – I would like to thank Councilman Wojtila for Chairing the committee, Councilwoman Jones for serving on it and all the residents and members of the staff for all the time they put in to make this happen. We've seen several plan through council office through the city and this rivals any of them that we've seen. It was done by volunteers and our staff here professionally. I want to compliment you and your committee really on a job very well done. Certainly we'll have discussions in the committee meeting but I did want to acknowledge all of the hard work and thank the residents who put in quite a bit of time as well.

Councilwoman Minarik – I have a question for the chair. What happened to the dog park?

Councilman Wojtila – There was quite a bit of discussion on the dog park. There was a dog park committee, there is a dog park committee and they did recommend that the dog park be located in Memorial Park. When this group which came out subsequent to the dog park committee, started meeting, we did have numerous discussions on this and our committee did not feel a dog park was appropriate for Memorial Park. I know Councilman Van Ho was good to come to one of our meetings and express his opposing view of that and I know Councilman Langman shares that view and was not able to make that specific meeting. But the committee after hearing Councilman Van Ho's opposition to not having a dog park, still we feel strongly that a dog park is not suitable for Memorial Park.

I do want to say that it was not 100% across the board within our committee that a dog park shouldn't be. The consensus and I'd say 75-80% of the active members of the committee did not feel that a dog park was appropriate for Memorial Park. That is what happened to the dog park.

Councilman Van Ho – I would move that we send this to committee because I want one more shot at a dog park.

President Holzheimer Gail – That was the intent, send it jointly.

Councilman Langman – Yes I am disappointed not to see the dog park there because those residents spent a lot of time, a lot of effort, they looked at a variety of locations. So I would have expected at least at a minimum if we don't have room at Memorial but "x" is a better alternative. I would like a more in depth explanation of what's going to happen to their work because those folks met for 6-8 months on that Councilman?

Councilman Van Ho – Close to a year.

Councilman Langman – They put in a tremendous amount of effort and looked at a variety of different sites. I still think it is a good idea. I still have at home the 200 plus signatures of folks that wanted to see a dog park. I think we still need to explore that. Thank you.

Director Pietravoia – Procedurally I wanted to mention that you will see the matter referred to Planning & Zoning on their April 12th Agenda. They will not be discussing it that evening, so I just wanted to clarify that for the public that do want to participate in the public meetings, they'll hold a special meeting as Councilman Wojtila mentioned on April 19th, so it will be on the agenda just to receive it and refer it to that Special Planning & Zoning meeting.

Councilman Van Ho moved to send Res. (251-11) to Planning & Zoning Commission and Parks & Recreation Committee. Councilman Langman seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho, Holzheimer Gail. Sent to Planning & Zoning Commission and Parks & Recreation Committee.

Res. 29-2011 (226a-11) Amend Storefront Renovation Guidelines

A resolution requiring that the City of Euclid amend the Storefront Renovation Program Guidelines and related Agreements to require that the program participants retain qualified Professional Design Services, as defined in ORC 153.65 (B), with any project agreement between the City and private parties, for which the City of Euclid agrees to provide funding under this Program, to ensure the project adheres to the Architectural Review Board's approved plans, meets program requirements and complies with all other applicable codes of the City of Euclid. (Sponsored by Councilperson Langman) (Recommended for passage as amended by the City Growth, Planning & Development Committee)

Councilman Langman moved for passage, Councilman O'Neill seconded.

Councilman Langman – I'll just make a few opening comments. This Resolution came about because of the issues that were raised at both the Lake Shore Plaza and Shore Center properties regarding the installation of the new veneers. Without going into that, it was clear from the issues that were raised that

we had a gap within our ability to monitor and evaluate and inspect such type of work. That's obviously very important because the public is a participant in such storefront projects. I think the figures are about \$250,000 for Shore Center and maybe \$200,000 for Lake Shore Plaza. Director Pietravoia, I may have those reversed. The point is the taxpayer needed better protections to make sure that what the City approved, Architectural Review Board and our Building Dept. was to actually installed. Hence the Resolution that I put on the Council Agenda. We did send that to Committee and I want to offer my compliments to the administration, especially Director Pietravoia and Director Smith and his department. They analyzed both the resolution and the gaps that we had in our storefront renovation agreements. We had a 2 ½ hour committee meeting last Wednesday which was the 9th. I think we aired all of the issues including what was happening at the current projects and for the details of that if he can do it in less than 2 ½ hours, Director Pietravoia can you just summarize some of the major changes that we see in the resolution and the storefront agreement.

Director Pietravoia – I think as an individual I would be able to do that in less than 2 ½ hours. The Council discussion that night was very lengthy as Councilman Langman mentioned and I was glad to see the majority of council well beyond the committee membership at that meeting. We did have a full discussion that evening.

In order to address the concerns that had been expressed, we did take a hard look, not only at our own program, but looked at the other programs that are funded with Block Grant and communities that surround the City of Cleveland in the Greater Cleveland area. What we found is that no one has really gone as far as what we're proposing, but we think what we are proposing is reasonable and will help further protect us for the larger projects like the ones we've experienced with Shore Center and Lake Shore Plaza.

In a nutshell what we landed on after a lengthy discussion based on a proposal that came back from the administration, we agreed with members of Council that for those projects that are funded through storefront that are receiving at least \$25,000 in a rebate or more, that we would require the new rules that are part of the package that Council received tonight. That is a requirement that detailed plans are prepared by a design professional and those plans would incorporate above and beyond the normal requirements of the Building Code, would incorporate standards to ensure minimum standards that would help to ensure the quality of workmanship on the project.

In addition to retaining that design professional up front, we took it a step further. The original recommendation was the city would hire design professional to inspect the projects through the discussions with Council and with our new building official, we agreed that it would be a better approach that the owner hire that inspector and in fact in most cases owners in larger projects would do that on their own. We don't want to take that chance that they won't do it again in the future like these two projects, so we're now going to require it on the larger projects. That would be for the design professional, likely would be the architect that prepared the plans, would inspect the project probably on a weekly basis depending on the size and scope of the project it would be at least weekly. We could agree to something less than that if it made sense if it was a smaller project. That would come to Council at the time of approval of the storefront grant agreement, so you would know ahead of time what's being proposed.

The final change that we would require for all projects regardless of size, we found through reviewing the other cities programs that a couple of cities, Cleveland in particular do a pre-construction meeting with all parties that are involved, the city reps, the architect or design professional, the contractors that are actually going to do the work and the owners of the project. That would be an opportunity right up front to review everything in detail and make sure there's a clear understanding of not only the plans that were approved by ARB, but the design standards that are going to be incorporated to ensure that quality of workmanship.

In a nutshell I think that might have been about 5 minutes, that summarizes what the Committee agreed to and the documents reflect without going through line by line, we do have extra copies if anyone here is interested in a copy. The ordinance itself, or resolution was changed to reflect the changes I just described and the sample storefront renovation contract incorporates all these requirements and we did change at the request of Councilman Langman and other members, anywhere we used to use the phrase, agrees to, has now been changed to shall, with the feeling that's a little bit stronger word. We made the document consistent throughout.

Finally we distributed an amended version of the brochure that goes to the applicants, when they're first inquiring about the project. It now includes language that describes those new requirements that I just mentioned this evening. We're hoping Council's comfortable with this. I think it is a good start and we're ready to implement this on our next project as soon as this is passed by Council. I should mention Jim Sonnhalter who is the Storefront Manager is here this evening. He did a lot of work, he was with us that evening in helping to prepare these documents and both of us are happy to answer questions.

Councilwoman Minarik – Because it was a 2 ½ hour meeting, because that meeting has been televised and because Council has received minutes for review, I move to close debate.

Councilman Langman – I did want the Law Director to follow up on the resident’s question.

President Holzheimer Gail – Can we hold up for one second Councilwoman Minarik?

Councilwoman Minarik – Yes.

Director Frey – You’re going to have to remind me of the resident’s question.

Councilman Langman – I’ll be happy to do that Law Director Frey. The resident indicated that he was disappointed that under Ohio Building code there are various options, three options which an owner can appeal decisions by our Building Dept. and obviously we send out notices to both owners about the condition of the work. The question is, how come we cannot force the building owners to re-do the work as to what was agreed to by the city?

Director Frey – The issue is two different issues. The one is the building code component and there we cannot create a building code that is more stringent than the Ohio Building Code. The answer to that is as a certified building authority in the State of Ohio, we cannot go beyond what is in the Ohio Building Code as far as enforcement of the building code. We cannot create additional requirements.

By contract with the property owner and that’s what we attempted to do here is to specify those components in our contract with the property owner that are in addition to the building code. So all projects, whether there was a contract with the city or not, would have to comply with the Ohio Building Code.

In these future storefront agreements we will require that the applicant to receive that storefront funding comply with these additional requirements of having the design professional on staff, of having the inspections of providing to us the construction documents with the manufacturer’s specifications and so forth included in them. That’s not the code issue. That’s the distinction that needs to be made. We did not have those provisions in the current storefront agreement. So while we had a rather loose definition of construction to what was approved by Architectural Review Board, there really wasn’t sufficient or adequate detail to really go beyond the Ohio Building Code in the existing agreement. That’s where I see the significant improvement in this agreement that’s attached to the legislation.

Councilman Langman – In other words, in a more laymen’s terms, if I understand you correctly, because under the current code and agreements, we weren’t specific enough to be able to come back and via the Ohio Building Code appeal process force them in any way to tear off and redo. So under Ohio Building Code and what we approved for these projects, they can come back and do modified plans and have that approved and that is a viable method of resolution.

Director Frey – Absolutely they have to comply with the Ohio Building Code. There are several different approaches. They could redo the work or they could appeal our determination or they can present the information that demonstrates to us or the State, that the work that was done is in compliance with the building codes. Those are their options.

Councilman Langman – As the public as the minority investor, we really don’t have any role to say, you must redo?

Director Frey – Not as to the building code component, that’s correct. This new agreement that’s before you tonight, however, will adequately in my opinion strengthen our relationship with the property owner and require them to do more by contract with us than just the building code. It is that additional site inspection and documentation that they will be providing to the city.

Councilman Langman – I don’t know if that cleared it up but I’ll try.

Councilwoman Minarik moved to close debate, Councilman Langman seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O’Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail

Passed.

Ord. 30-2011 (256-11) Auxiliary Dinner

An ordinance authorizing the Mayor of the City of Euclid to expend an amount not to exceed Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00) to pay for the annual appreciation dinner for Euclid Auxiliary Police Officers to be held at The Manor Party Center on Friday, April 29, 2011. (Sponsored by Mayor Cervenik and Entire Council by request of Police Chief)

Councilwoman Scarniench moved for passage, Councilman O'Neill seconded.

Chief Repicky – This year we're going to honor the Auxiliaries at their dinner on April 29th. They've had in excess of 1900 hours of service to the residents of this city. We've also assigned Sgt. Mike Knack to take over the unit to increase training and also additional hiring of auxiliaries. If anybody is interested, please call my office, or the Office in Charge's office at 289-8493. Last year we expend \$1650. I ask Council for passage of this ordinance.

President Holzheimer Gail – It is a nice way to honor their volunteer service. Any questions from Council?

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to close debate, Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Councilman Gilliam moved to suspend the rules, Councilwoman Jones seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail

Passed.

Ord. 31-2011 (257a-11) CT Consultants for Press Box Rebuild

An ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Service of the City of Euclid to enter into a contract with CT Consultants, 8150 Sterling Court, Mentor, Ohio 44060, to prepare plans, contract documents, bid service and construction inspection services to rebuild the Paul Serra Field Press Box in an amount not to exceed Thirty Five Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$35,500.00) (Sponsored by Councilperson Wojtila by request of Service Director)

Councilman Wojtila moved for passage, Councilman O'Neill seconded.

Director Smith – As was indicated I am requesting your approval of a contract with CT Consultants for services associated with the rebuilding of the Paul Serra Field Press Box. The fee schedule is based upon four components. Item #1, Conceptual Design, \$5,100; #2, Contract Documents, \$15,500; Bidding Services \$3,900; Construction Observation \$11,000. The total cost of this contract is in the amount of \$35,500.

With the exception of the deductible, this cost in its entirety will be reimbursable by our insurance carrier. Upon sharing the cost with our carrier they were satisfied as to the amount. A couple of questions that did come up early on was what the deductible amount was. That figure is \$10,000. The second question that was raised is how much is covered both for #8 and #9 on the agenda. We had replacement cost coverage so everything from the design aspect with CT and the construction aspect is covered with the exception of the deductible.

One final point that I would offer, I know Director Frey made me aware there would be no change in the premiums as a result of this matter. I'd be more than glad to answer any questions that you may have.

Director Frey – If I could clarify one item before you get to the questions. The deductible has already been satisfied. That was an offset from an earlier claim payment on this project. We should receive full reimbursement on both the architect and the construction expenses on these two pieces.

Director Smith – As Director Brett had pointed out, there was two changes. One to both this matter and one to the next matter. Under this, we would ask for an amendment to the ordinance and the funds to pay for this would be derived from the 2011 Recreation Capital budget. Section 2 on the ordinance.

President Holzheimer Gail – Chief Repicky, has there been any progress made in this case?

Chief Repicky – The investigation is ongoing in conjunction with the Fire Department's Fire Prevention. No new leads at this time.

President Holzheimer Gail – Is there a reward, do we typically offer rewards for things like this?

Chief Repicky – Not that I know of but I can check into it.

Councilman O'Neill – To Director Smith, I noticed that we are going to replace the press box, obviously we're going to try to make it fire proof again. Will there be a new sign, wasn't there some damage done, not to the sign, but the scoreboard, some activity repair on the scoreboard as well?

Director Smith – Yes there will. We're not sure as to what the extent will be and that will part of the CT investigation to take a look at it if it is simply the controller that was inside the press box. Possibly some of the hard wiring leading from the press box to the sign.

Councilman O'Neill – I've got a suggestion but I'll talk to you after the meeting.

Councilwoman Scarniench – Is this going to be similar to what we had or are we looking to make it smaller or totally different design?

Director Smith – I know Park & Rec Director Mac Stephens was involved in soliciting some input as far as any improvements. Based upon this being a replacement cost type of policy, we provided CT with the existing structure drawings in addition to the insurance carrier and that's how the price for replacement costs are based upon that square footage for the facility. In short the answer would be, we're mindful of some improvements that would fit in with regards to our policy limits.

Councilman Van Ho – Once again a very familiar vendor. I'm beginning to believe, feel like a wholly owned subsidiary of CT any more. We need to take this, even some of this small stuff out because unless I'm missing something this is not a bad price percentage-wise but it is not a great price. It doesn't look like it would be that complicated of a project that any engineering company could have done it. We need to get competition, it keeps everybody honest. I will vote for this.

Councilwoman Jones – Director Brett, I think Director Smith mentioned that for both of these items, #8 and #9, it will come out of the Recreation Capital budget?

Director Brett – No, the one that we just discussed here will come out of capital improvement because that's where a certain percentage of the proceeds that we've already received from the insurance company went in the first place. So, by that I took the smaller amount to cover this one out of that fund. The other, the next piece of legislation is much larger and the larger portion of proceeds that we've received thus far went into the Revolving fund. I'll ask that the next ordinance be amended so that those expenditures come out of the Revolving fund.

Councilwoman Jones – I'm glad you explained that because I was thinking \$200,000 out of the Recreation Capital budget, they are very limited already. My other question is, Director Smith maybe you could answer this. You mentioned the total as far as \$3,500 for item #8, but you said the deductible has been met, is that excluding the deductible? Maybe you said this already and I'm sorry if you did and I missed that. Has the deductible been deducted from the \$35000?

Director Frey – No and let me make sure I'm answering your question properly Council member. There was a \$10,000 deductible on our claim. When we got the reimbursement for the demolition cost, we were reimbursed \$10,000 less than our actual cost of demolition. We've already satisfied the deductible.

Councilman Wojtila – I'm glad that they're proposal includes going to Architectural Review Board meetings. Just a question maybe Director Pietravoia is best to answer this. Would this be by our current ordinances, would this a building that has to go to ARB?

Director Pietravoia – The chapter that governs the Architectural Review Board asks or requires that new construction come before them for review. So I interpret that broadly to include new construction of the city as well. So we have been trying to be consistent recently to bring even city projects on city land to the Architectural Review Board. I don't know the City has consistently done that in the past, in fact I'm fairly certain that some projects, I'm going back 5-10 years or longer, were not necessarily going to the Architectural Review Board, which is not uncommon a lot of cities don't bring their own projects before the boards.

Councilman Wojtila – I will agree with Councilman Van Ho except that the percentage, I don't think is a good percentage.

Councilwoman Minarik – Director Brett, we have been getting some payments already on this insurance, is that how it is working? And then we will get the balance when the project is completed?

Director Brett – Correct.

Councilwoman Minarik – They go back into the funds that we are pulling money out of right now?

Director Brett – Correct.

Councilwoman Minarik – So the money we have been getting has been put into these two funds, in these two ordinances?

Director Brett – Yes.

Councilwoman Minarik – Will this project be completed in time for the CABA baseball tournament which I think is in June?

Director Brett – No, I don't believe so.

Councilman Gilliam – Director Frey, you said once these two are passed and the actual job is complete that the money should be totally reimbursable. What would prevent the insurance company from giving us a full reimbursement? A change in the cost, a change order, anything of that nature? What would be the reason for that?

Director Frey – We provided the insurance company so far with the construction estimate and the design and construction management prices that's actually less than what they have said as a claim limit on this. I don't anticipate we're going to have that problem. We should be very shortly receiving a check for a significant portion of our anticipated costs. We submitted two weeks ago an additional verification of claim and we should be receiving a check shortly in the amount of \$147,000. We will pay for the cost of the design and the construction. We will then submit the balance of our cost less the proceeds received to the insurance company. I do not anticipate an issue with being fully reimbursed for those costs.

Councilman Gilliam – Thank you. Director Smith, I have one additional question. Construction observation services. I have an idea of what that is, there's someone actually watching the actual development of this project going through the different phases. My question to you is, what exactly is construction observation services? If it is what I think it is, we're not able to actually do it for the city itself, because I know we're going to have to certify the building on a punch list. So I'm just curious, is CT the one that is going to be doing this observation services for us for \$11,000?

Director Smith – Under the CT contract essentially what they are summarizing under the construction phase is that they anticipate a 3 month construction period. Observation will consist of two visits per week. They feel that the stipulated construction observation time is sufficient but additional inspections can be provided on an hourly basis as deemed necessary by the city. Review and approve applicable submittals, monitor RFI's as necessary, attend monthly OAC meetings at the site. Site visits will be conducted to gather physical information about the sites. A series of photographs will be taken to include a photo log for reference. They will review and approve monthly payment applications, process notice of commencement and furnishings documents, monitor budget and schedule and maintain compliance and provide regular updates to the city. That's how it is broken down under that particular component.

I know a very similar question was raised by Councilman Wojtila as far as our options of doing that in-house, that would certainly be an option, but we do have a tremendous amount of work anticipated this year with the construction of the new schools. Rather than put a tax on the department, it just seemed prudent to go with an outside service to assist in this, especially since it is a reimbursable amount of money.

Councilman Gilliam – This \$11,000 is reimbursable by the insurance company as well. I just wanted to make sure I was clear on that because I was just concerned about the two times a week and this is just a small project, \$11,000 just seems to be a lot. As long as it is reimbursable, I'll defer and not ask any more questions.

Councilman Van Ho – Question or comment. Having CT or any other design firm observe their own work is a little like sending the fox in to guard the hen house. If they made a design error and they're observing, they're going to let that go right on through and we inherit the problem a few years down the road. So I would like to see this go to some other company to do the construction observation, not necessarily our own internal people because as you say they're going to be taxed. If we can get another firm to look at it and that's no reflection on CT, but it does happen from time to time.

Director Smith – As a follow up as you may recall, you had a similar request about going out to other firms to obtain statements of qualifications in regards to our building official services and like functions. CT had performed that in the past. After we went through the process we felt comfortable with another firm, that being the firm that is currently doing our building official services and inspection services, Mr. Kulchytsky. Of course as the Building Official he will be reviewing the CT plans and approving them. Obviously they serve in the capacity of back up so they would not be reviewing their own work, it would

be by someone truly independent. At the same point in time, it is not that we're simply going to turn a blind eye to the construction, we will still have the city's inspectors, just not on a typical full time basis out there.

President Holzheimer Gail – We do need to amend this to be the Recreation Capital budget.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to amend to the Recreation Capital budget. Councilman O'Neill seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail

Amendment passed.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to close debate, Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Councilman Gilliam moved to suspend the rules, Councilwoman Minarik seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail

Passed as amended.

Ord. 32-2011 (258a-11) Contract to Rebuild Press Box

An ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Service of the City of Euclid to advertise for bids and award a contract, within One Hundred Ten Percent (\$110%) of the estimate of Two Hundred Three Thousand Dollars (\$203,000.00), to rebuild the Press Box at Paul Serra Field. (Sponsored by Councilperson Wojtila by request of Service Director)

Councilman Wojtila moved for passage, Councilwoman Jones seconded.

Director Smith – This is the ordinance that would allow me to actually go out and seek the bids and enter into a contract thereafter for the construction of the press box at the field. The preliminary estimate is in the amount of \$203,000. This amount includes replacing damaged bleachers, there was a question earlier in that regard. Once again this cost in its entirety with the exception of the deductible that has already been addressed with be reimbursed by our insurance carrier. Again, as mentioned by Director Frey they were satisfied with the costs that we had provided to them.

As a summary of what will be included in this price, it has been broken out by CT, that was also a question that was asked. The estimated cost associated with the construction is as follows. The site and the bleacher slab, \$10,000; bleacher reinstallation \$8,000; site sanitary water and electric, \$10,000; Foundation and slab, \$12,000; Masonry work \$42,000; Carpentry consisting of the floor, roof and deck \$29,000; shingle roof, gutters, fascia, \$3,000; doors and hardware a total of 5, \$6,000; Shutters for the press box and the concession stand, a total of 5, \$6,000; windows 4, \$2,000; exhaust fans \$2,000; electric lighting and power \$14,000; plumbing \$25,000. That brings the total of those items to \$169,000. They added a 20% contingency that was slightly rounded up to an even number of \$34,000 and that brought us to the total cost of \$203,000.

President Holzheimer Gail – I believe I heard you say carpentry at \$29,000. Our list reads \$25,000. I just wanted to make sure we have the right number.

Director Smith – A good catch there. You are correct. As I was summarizing the cost earlier and I didn't realize you actually had that copy, I have a new one forthcoming. If you were to add the \$25,000 rather than the \$29,000 it would bring the total to 165. The correct item for Carpentry is actually \$29,000, I did confirm that. I do apologize then for that typo but I do have a new cover page forthcoming, but the numbers as I read are correct.

President Holzheimer Gail – The total remains the same.

Director Smith – That is correct. Once again as was indicated by Director Brett, there needs to be a modification to Section 2 where the funds to pay for this particular expenditure will be derived from the revolving fund.

Councilman Van Ho moved to amend that the funds come from the 2011 Revolving fund.

Councilman Langman seconded.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail.

Amendment passed.

Councilman Van Ho moved to close debate, Councilman Wojtila seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Councilman Langman moved to suspend the rules, Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail
Passed as amended.

Res. 33-2011 (260-11) Audit Committee

A Resolution appointing three members to the Audit Committee established by Ordinance 236-2007.
(Sponsored by Mayor Cervenik)

Councilman Gilliam moved for passage, Councilwoman Scarniench seconded.

Mayor Cervenik – I present to you tonight the nominations for our Audit Committee. We have Gwen Davis who would be a new member of the Audit Committee taking the place of Laura Gorsche who is unable to serve on the audit committee due to the fact that she serves on Planning & Zoning. Roseanne Evangelista our retired benefits manager, I felt would be a great asset to serve on the audit committee as well. She is taking the place of Marvin Owens who has accepted a job with the ministry in Washington, D.C. and is unable to be in Euclid as much as he would like. Robert Monroe I've asked him to accept reappointment. He is a Euclid resident an attorney that specializes in estate and tax practice. We will probably, Director Brett, have our initial meeting with the auditors within the next 2-3 weeks, if I'm not mistaken. They are all very well qualified individuals and I ask for your support of their nominations tonight.

President Holzheimer Gail – I have served on that committee as Council's representative and the Chair of the Executive and Finance Committee as well.

Councilwoman Scarniench moved to close debate, Councilwoman Jones seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail
Passed.

Mayor Cervenik – I would like to thank Councilman Gilliam for referring to me the expertise of Gwen Davis as well as some people on some other committee that we've appointed recently. Always trying to get new blood into what we're doing here.

Ord. 34-2011 (255-11) Bids Lane Lining

An ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Service of the City of Euclid to advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the labor and materials necessary for lane lining of various streets within the City of Euclid for the year 2011. (Sponsored by Councilperson Wojtila by request of Service Director)

Councilman Wojtila moved for passage, Councilman Gilliam seconded.

Director Smith – Once again I'm requesting your approval to seek bids and enter into a contract thereafter for lane lining of various streets. This also includes limited pavement marking throughout the city. This work has been performed in conjunction with our in-house personnel as they due perform some pavement marking and stenciling as well as this independent contractor. Funding is from the 2011 operating budget from the Traffic Maintenance Dept. Certainly appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Councilman Van Ho – What's the estimate on this?

Director Smith – Approximately \$30,000.

Councilman Van Ho moved to close debate, Councilman Langman seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Councilman Wojtila moved to suspend the rules, Councilman O'Neill seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Minarik, O'Neill, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho,
Holzheimer Gail
Passed.

Res. 35-2011 (253-11)

A resolution requesting the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Governor John Kasich to reconsider the decision to build a new State Mental Health Hospital in Summit County. (Sponsored by Mayor Cervenik)

Councilman Gilliham moved for passage, Councilwoman Scarniench seconded.

Mayor Cervenik – I don't normally sponsor Resolution such as this. I try and work with other government bodies, but in this case I feel it is important myself and hopefully some members of Council might join in and put their names on this Resolution. The decision by the new Governor to close the Cleveland Campus of the Northeast Behavior Healthcare as soon as July 1, 2011 and then also vacate the plan to build a new State mental health hospital in Cleveland is to me really slap in the face to this area.

The City of Cleveland has spent over \$4 million in preparing the site with the good faith understanding that it would be located here. There's \$84 million set aside in the capital budget construction. We are talking about 1500 total admissions that come to Northcoast Behavioral healthcare in 2010; 97% or more than 1470 residents are Cuyahoga County residents. A big part of helping people regain their mental health and helping them cope with problems they have is family intervention and friends intervention. To move 97% of the Cuyahoga County patients down to Summit County, that's not necessarily going to be possible.

The new hospital would have employed 300 people at the Cleveland campus. This proposal will actually cost the City of Cleveland and our area over 200 jobs. It is a very serious situation I feel. I felt strongly enough about it after talking to a number of Judges and other organizations that I would sponsor this legislation. Hopefully the Governor as well as members of his staff can come to some resolution and allow the City of Cleveland to have that expansion and the new hospital here locally. I'll try to answer any questions you might have.

Councilman Gilliham – First Mayor, I would like to add my name to this legislation. As someone who worked in the mental health field for five years, one of the biggest barriers to mental health services is access. For 97% be told that they have to go to Summit County denies them access.

I guess I appreciate the Resolution that you have sponsored but I was just wondering, I wasn't aware of this actual move. Did the Governor give any justification why Summit County? I could argue politics but I was just wondering, did he give any justification for this change in venue based on the needs of Cuyahoga County and also the percentages that you have expressed for the need for our residents to be close to the mental health facility.

Mayor Cervenik – I believe his justification was that they could provide the services in one facility in Summit County. As we all know we need to learn to work together and combine the services together. But between the commitment that the City of Cleveland, a serious commitment the City of Cleveland has already given with the \$4 million of actual money spent and \$84 million set aside from various organizations for the construction, not to mention the detrimental effect of Cuyahoga residents having to go to Summit County which is a trek, especially when they're in that condition. I just felt the justification that the Governor had at this point is wrong.

I applaud the Governor for looking for new ways to save money. I applaud the Governor for urging communities and counties and various different organizations to work together. But I don't think this is going to help the people that are in need and that's the patience themselves and the families themselves.

Councilman Van Ho – I also would like to have my name added to this. I'm a little bit suspected it will be very successful because this is politics at its rawest reaction. In the 50 years I've been involved with politics, this has nothing to do with performance, it has to do with politics, but we can try.

Mayor Cervenik – I will refrain from calling it politics. I'm just more concerned at the moment about building a good relationship with a Governor which I am doing with various departments of his right now. But also, just letting him understand that everything is not in black and white as we all know. There are some decisions that although they would make some sense financially in the big scheme of things it is just going to hurt people and make the goal of mental health in the State of Ohio not be able to reach it doing things like this. Thank you for wanting your name on it.

Councilwoman Minarik – In response to Councilman Gilliham's question. According to the State of the State Address which I downloaded, the Governor explained that there was an informal commitment to build a building in downtown Cleveland. The State has a mental health facility in Northfield just across the border in Summit County. His Mental Health Director came to him and said the building in Cleveland currently is falling apart, which told the Governor if you allow me to take and renovate the Summit Northfield facility in Summit County and not build the building in Cleveland, I will have no need for any capital improvements over the next four years. In addition to that I can save \$4 million a year in

operating expenses. By not building the building in Cleveland and renovating the Northfield facility, not only is the Governor saving \$54 million in capital costs that can be spent elsewhere in the State, maybe in Euclid to build our jail, we are also saving \$4 million in operating expenses statewide. The state is facing \$8 billion in deficit costs. I think he is making a tough choice but a smart choice operation wise.

Mayor Cervenik – It has nothing to do with our jail, thank you.

Councilwoman Minarik – I meant the \$54 million that is being saved in the construction, we might be able to access \$3 million for the new jail.

Mayor Cervenik – According to his privatization of the State Prisons, I don't think so. Obviously there was some commitment for the City of Cleveland to spend over \$4 million to prepare the site. Maybe the commitment wasn't from this present Governor, but there was. The loss of jobs in this area should be very concerning to us all. Again, I put this out because I felt strongly about it. I don't normally do this but in this case, because of the people that need the mental health care in this area and their family members, I felt I needed to put this out. If your name is not on it, that's quite all right.

Councilwoman Scarniench – I would like to add my name to it please.

President Holzheimer Gail – I will add my name as well. Access is a big issue. Stigma is a big issue. Mental health issues impact our community in so many more ways than just getting service. If they do not receive the service and treatment that they need, it can lead to many other problems that if the services are not accessible, are not available to people and location is part of that, we're going to see other problems and those are going to be our community problems. The State is also looking at cutting mental health funding, cutting many social service funding. Those problems are going to come back and we're going to be responsible as a county and as a community.

Councilwoman Jones – I would like to add my name and make a comment that for that are using current facilities, to transfer to another location may sound easy but transportation-wise it may not be as easy to transfer them over. As far as insurance and coverages like that, it is not as easy to transfer over, even though the facility will be the same, the paperwork is a nightmare. Knowing that going through friends who have been through issues like that, transferring over from one facility, even though it should still be the same, and it looks like it should still be the same, it is a nightmare sometimes in transferring, not only transportation for those that are using the facilities but for the paperwork as well.

Councilman Langman – I think the discussion kind of points out when we do Resolutions like this that obviously the hospital is not currently in Euclid, it is tough to know all the facts. I take the Mayor at his word about his concern for mental health care delivery in the State. There are many factors involved so I, based on the Mayor's comments, I will vote for this. I don't need my name on it. I am leery of having things on here that are not directly involved with the city. Because there's enough things here that we need to become experts on all the time and becoming experts on mental health or whatever other issue might come before us, federal issues. We had something a couple months back about a federal issue. Given the gravity of mental health care, I'm willing to vote for it, but again, these issue we're not really equipped to make a full evaluation. I don't think anybody from the State is going to come up and explain all the variables. Thank you.

Councilman Van Ho – One of the things that was brought up was transportation. I can tell you that if these people happen to be poor enough that they need to take public transportation, getting it to Northfield is going to be a challenge because RTA has cut back on a lot of their routes very substantially and you are not going to get them to add a route out there unless it is profitable as profitable as RTA can be.

Councilman Gilliam – Just one comment in respect to Councilman Langman's thoughts. I know that this is not a necessary direct Euclid issue but there are Euclid residents that work at this facility and do we know Euclid residents may have had to utilize this facility. The concern with mental health is always going to be an issue. If there's not proper services, not proper access, it leads to crime, it leads to all kinds of issues that this city could be adversely affected by. I don't like to get into the politics. I just see this as a necessary service. It was in Cleveland and the reality of it is when 97% of one population utilizes it in its own county to have it moved any place else just seems irrational at this time. I do respect your comments but this is important enough for us to at least address. It doesn't mean that we have any influence but there are some very collateral damaging situations if this facility is moved that could affect Euclid directly.

Councilman Van Ho moved to close debate, Councilman Gilliam seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Roll Call: Yeas: Gilliam, Scarniench, Jones, Wojtila, Langman, Van Ho, Holzheimer Gail

Nay: Minarik

Abstain: O'Neill

Passed.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

President Holzheimer Gail – That completes the Legislation and brings us to the Committee of the Whole where you may speak to anything for the good of the city. Please no personal comments and no political comments.

Mr. Joe Udovic – 21371 Naumann Ave. I was hoping to come up with a swat analysis, but we had spring break and three of the members of my team left town, so we are still working on this project about Walmart. We plan on having something ready, according to the instructor, we still have plenty of time to make a formal presentation at Cleveland State for our grade, so we're still within the timeframe.

What brings me up this evening is Ralph King sent me a message and I just want to invite the residents of the City of Euclid if they have time available I'd appreciate this. If people don't know, Ralph King and myself are involved with the Cleveland Tea Party Patriots, Saturday, April 16, 2011, we will be hosting a Tea Party called our Tax Day Tea Party. We'll be at Voinovich Park from 11:00 AM-1:00 PM. They've decided they'll have people speaking on various topics. Some of the topics will include: tired of EPA regulating your freedoms; do you believe in liberty and freedom; do you want to take back Ohio. Some of the people on the agenda that will be speaking that day will be Ohio Rep Morgan, Mike Kerry who is with the Ohio Coalition Association, Eric Golub he's involved with the conservative columnist and author, Matthew Bradley somehow involved in the Cuyahoga County Council. From what I understand we are going to have a band called Déjà vu Voodoo Band, so we're going to have some entertainment. We're not going to have voodoo dolls or reading Tarot cards, but this is something that is very much a grassroots movement. I encourage people across the board to please do come. It doesn't matter if you're a Democrat, Republican, Libertarian, or such as myself a Populist, this is strictly a people movement. It is having our elected official and holding them accountable to a job that they've done. We believe that no matter what political office you are in, you are responsible for the people, by the people who put you in office. We would like to see you there and we will invite you to come. Thank you very much.

Councilman Van Ho – One quick question, I didn't hear the location?

Mr. Udovic – Voinovich Park.

Mr. Christopher Michael Litwinowicz – 21970 Morris. Congratulations to a council candidate, as of today that person is certified. I like to see my council get certified as quick as possible. A couple of questions before I go into detail of why I came here. In my eyes this Council between two agenda items don't realize and I'm going to ask them real quick before I make this comment, \$10,000 made \$147,000 into a bank account. According to this legislation, you're spending over \$238,500. That means your first \$10,000 made \$147,000. When you take \$10,000 out, you've got to put it back in, so that means you have \$137,000 to complete this task. You just gave away \$101,000.

Political action committee, time for change, is petitioning the city to lower the income tax from 2.85 to 2.0, zero dollars to the taxpayer. At the same time, I need to let it be known that my uncle because I pulled the pac and wanted to lower the income tax and \$3 million is minus \$38 million and \$10 million minus \$87 million and they're asking for \$6.9 million and they're going to budget that. We've already discussed this in the past, months ago. But now my uncle has lost his job. It's not going to stop me.

Public access, time for change committee. I need to question, I called Time Warner, Time Warner says call Lisa Mayernik. Lisa Mayernik won't give the public an opportunity to schedule a time. That's the bottom line. I'm just letting it be known because the civil rights of a person is about to be violated.

You might not know but at the same time you pay for government access, you paid for public access. It is not being used. A certain individual chose this. Didn't chose what the voters want, didn't even bring it to Council, has just shunned an individual's in the city out that are very talented. At the same time I'm leaving tonight and driving all the way to New York City to see Chris Brown at 106 and Park because he stole look at me now, that I made in the City Hall, right here. My concept, my idea. But what stopped me was I wasn't allowed for a year and a half, so that opened that market up. I still got my rights and I'm still going to get paid. But I have to take a lonely trip with no money. If I come back and I can't retribution, there will be a situation on hand about civil rights. At the same time, I like council, at later night comments, to ask about why. I didn't come here to direct it to an individual, I came to let the Council know, open up that door and if somebody call put their name on a list because 775 is not a lot of money to have somebody downstairs and I told you I'd volunteer my time. Thank you.

Mayor Cervenik – Lisa Mayernik has done nothing of the kind at all. She has ran the public access television system in a very efficient manner. A few years ago the State legislature changed the rules for cable franchise regulations and it allowed large companies like Time Warner and others that were in existence at the time to opt-out of our agreements. Time Warner took that opportunity and opted-out. At that point, we were no longer required to provide public access. However Time Warner is required to provide public access. If you're looking for public access to get beyond the walls and borders of the City of Euclid, Time Warner's public access station goes to all the communities that they serve. We did it for budgetary reason when we were required to provide time access. The speaker who just left the podium spent considerable amounts of time and we spent considerable amounts of dollars helping with his productions as well as others. We felt that was a budget cut that could be made that affected the least amount of people. We still have a government station and the schools still have their education station. That's how that all transpired; the State changed the rules and Time Warner determined that the State rules were better than ours.

President Holzheimer Gail – We did as a council pass that through the budget, administration and council discussed that as part of the budget hearings that year.

Mayor Cervenik – Yes we did.

Mr. Steve Abraitis – 90 E. 212 St. The question is about the trash of last year's collection, \$100 per customer. Is there a grant? Was there a grant? A second grant or something coming from outside to pay for it?

President Holzheimer Gail – For the garbage collection?

Mr. Abraitis – The garbage collection that the people were sacked with \$100 per person or maybe even more coming this year. Is there a grant, or was or something?

Mayor Cervenik – There is no grant Mr. Abraitis. The first year of trash fee collections the city billed directly. From this time on it is on the real estate tax bill. I am not aware of any grants to help with the payment of that.

Mr. Abraitis – Nothing was applied for?

Mayor Cervenik – I wouldn't know where to apply. To the best of my knowledge there is nothing out there to apply for those types of grants.

Mr. Abraitis – The Congress office offered over 6,000 grants in this line. It would be nice to look into it. The amounts are enormous. Right now in excess of \$60 billion outstanding, free for the asking. Also several police stations throughout all the United States have asked for grants on weapon systems. They got tanks, machine guns and you name it, they got it, helicopters, they got helicopters up the gazoo, 3-4 if they wanted. Those grants, \$60 billion are outstanding, available, free and only for the asking on an official form that is available. We could use this for the trash contract. John you believe that?

President Holzheimer Gail – Address your comments to all of Council please. I'm sure the administration would be happy to look into any available grants.

Mayor Cervenik – I will look into the grants that Mr. Abraitis is talking about.

Mr. Abraitis – Congress has made it available for last year and this year too. So apparently they are outstanding available. It is possible to request.

President Holzheimer Gail – Thank you. Seeing no other questions, we'll move forward with Council Members' comments.

COUNCIL MEMBERS' COMMENTS

President Holzheimer Gail – A couple of reminders. We will have an Executive and Finance Committee meeting this Wednesday, March 23rd beginning at 6:30. We will have our capital budget. We will have a monthly budget report to review. Our bond counsel will be here and we will begin a discussion of long term revenue sources, or at least the plan for that.

I did hear a number of questions about our bidding process protocol and I don't know if we're going to have enough time at that meeting but perhaps the next Executive and Finance we can add a discussion about protocol or process in terms of bidding.

I would like to thank Ss. Robert and William Church and the Eastside Irish American Club, held a Mass at Ss. Robert & William every year to start St. Patrick's day. It really was a great way to start the day and I want to thank them for doing that. Really acknowledge the Eastside Irish American Club, what a great job they do. They bring a lot of great people to the city on St. Patrick's day and throughout the year and also to congratulate Gerald Quinn, a Euclid resident who was the Grand Marshal at the St. Patrick's Day parade.

Thank you also to Lincoln Electric, they held one of the most creative groundbreaking ceremonies on a very windy, cold, rainy day on March 9th to break the ground for the wind turbine project. We're very anxious to see that break the surface, break the sky I guess is what we'll call it once it begins to go up. They're doing the initial groundwork now. Thanks to them and we're looking forward to that. The creative part is they actually dug up a piece of ground, brought it indoors, so we did not have to go outside for the actual groundbreaking.

Our State Senator, Senator Nina Turner is hold Talks with Turner. She has had one here in Euclid, but the next two are in her other communities, March 28th from 6:00-8:00 PM at Beachwood Community Center and May 23rd from 5:00-7:00 at the Kinsman Branch of the Cleveland Public Library. Great times to talk about some of the budget cuts or other issues that will impact our local community.

For those who like theater, something to mark your calendars for, Euclid High School will hold their Big Show, The Wizard of Oz, on Thursday, April 7th through Sunday, April 10th. Details about that are on the Euclid City Schools website, but they begin at 7:30 each of those evenings and 2:00 on Saturday and Sunday.

UpStage Players which hosts many Euclid children, as well as Greater Cleveland North Collinwood children are involved, are doing Seussical this year and that will be April 15, 16, 17. Friday and Saturday are at 7:30 and Sunday is at 2:30 at the Slovene Workman's Home on Waterloo. Two good productions and two great ways to support our kids. Thank you.

Councilman Gilliam – Just two announcements. There will be a Business Committee Meeting on Wednesday, March 30th at 6:30 PM. We will be discussing some items that have been past to the Business Committee and I just wanted to make sure that everybody knows it is Wednesday, March 30, 6:30 PM.

The Indian Hills Neighborhood Association is having full body general meeting, April 13, I'll announce it again at the next Council Meeting. April 13th at the Indian Hills Public Library at 6:30 PM. That's all I have for now, thank you.

Councilwoman Scarniench – Playing in the sandbox was fun at Lincoln. It is nice to see the construction starting. They said it should be done by the middle of summer, you will definitely know where Euclid is once that's up.

Congratulations to our five new firemen. Also to Agnes Turk. I've known Agnes for a lot of years and God I hope I can stay as spry and everything as she is just for a little longer.

Irish American Club on Saturday held a book signing, To Kill the Irishman, Rick Porrello where there, it was really a nice event, there were lots of people there to buy the books and hear what he had to say. A whole lot of us went next door and watched the movie on the big, giant screen. Was disappointed it wasn't made in Cleveland because I know this spot, I know this spot, but it wasn't, it was in Detroit. Three cheers for the State of Ohio for finally putting together the opportunity for the tax credits for movies because one of the things Rick said is that was the only reason it was done in Detroit. If they couldn't have done it that way, it would have never been made. Hats off to him and everybody, the movie was done well, even though it wasn't in Collinwood.

Heritage Park is having an Easter Egg hunt this year. It will be at the southwest mini station on Saturday April 9 from 2:00-4:00. All the little kids in the city are invited to come.

Lady of Lourdes is doing their annual fish fry. There will be one this Friday, March 25th and then another one on April 15th from 4:30-6:45. Tickets are \$8.50 for adults. Also, don't forget, Sticks does fish fry also on Friday.

Last but not least, our Euclid PAL has now started Bingo, every Monday night at the Lakefront Community Center. Doors open at 5:00 and the game start at 6:45. If you're so inclined, stop by and support PAL. They will be trying to bring back all the programs that we used to have, Hooked on Fishing, and everything. All of the money is going to go to the Euclid kids. If you're a bingo aficionado please stop in and see them. Thank you.

Councilwoman Jones – I first want to say thank you to Director Pietravoia and Mr. Sonnhalter in their diligence in getting the NOACA grant for the TLC program. Once you mentioned that and you said we can set dates, I'm looking forward to setting those dates and getting moving with the next phase.

Saturday was a busy day from some of the announcements made but I was at Shore Cultural Centre on Saturday at Today's Future Foundation Spelling Bee. There were about 30 participants and when you think about our technology nowadays when going on your computer you can use Word check, you have phones that you use texting with and you abbreviate your words and everything. It is a great

accomplishment students of elementary school age getting up in a spelling bee. With the technology that they're growing up with, we need to go back to the basics which is one of the things that spelling does, takes them back to the basics. I congratulate Today's Future Foundation on the Spelling Bee. I couldn't stay for the whole event because I had another event to go to so I'm not sure who the winner was, but I'm trying to find that information out. We had representation from some Euclid Schools as well as other schools in the surrounding cities.

After the spelling bee, I went to Master's Church for the Fred's Club Spaghetti Dinner fundraiser, which was a great turnout, nice spaghetti dinner, dessert and everything. They had a silent auction for a lot of nice items in the silent auction. Those were two great events.

I want to say congratulations to the Euclid High School debate team. From what I understand there used to be a debate team at the High School years and years ago but this is a new team that is starting up and congratulations to them winning their first debate and getting off to a great start.

I also want to say congratulations to the Swim Team on their accomplishments and achievements that they have made. Another event coming up in May, which you probably all heard about is the Relay for Life. You don't have to wait until May 13 and 14th to participate in this. Teams are forming now for the Relay for Life. You can participate as a team member in various different ways, not just walking for those two days, but collecting donations for a worthy cause, American Cancer Society. You can go on the website, www.relayforlife.org/euclid and you can sign up for one of the teams there. We have about 18 teams signed up so far and the donations are starting to be collected. You can go online and make your donations you don't have to wait until the day of the event. Again, the event is May 13 and 14th. It will take place at the Euclid High School track and the idea is for teams to have at least one team member walking or running around the track throughout the whole event. It is going to be a fun, family event and the theme this year are holidays and each team will pick a holiday and decorate their work area for the different holidays. Come out and support or come out and join one of the teams in the events that are going on. It will be a fun occasion for the whole 18 hours. Thank you and goodnight.

Councilwoman Minarik – Director Frey, I do have a question, it was referred that we have the IGS summer price that is going to be \$5.35 or whatever that was, but are we getting close for getting the price also for the wintertime?

Director Frey – We have not discussed the winter fixed price yet. We wanted to set the summer price because it was an exceptionally low price. If you recall a few years ago we were at \$11.30 mcf; we're going to be at \$5.28 for the summer period. Those opt-out letters will be going out shortly. The press release has been sent out. I just got all of the notice letters today from IGS. We will probably set that fixed winter price in August or September is historically when we've been able to do that and it is typically when the supply is at its fullest and should give us the best winter price. If we set a winter price right now, it would be higher than it needs to be.

Councilwoman Minarik – Again I wanted to remind the community that Saturday April 30th is the 3rd Annual Rain Barrel sale from 10:00-12:30 PM. Fifty gallon rain barrels with the workshop from Cuyahoga Soil and Water are \$60; the sixty gallon terracotta rain barrel is \$85. What we're going to have differently this year, in addition we'll have the solar fountain again like we did last year, but because we also had some changes, we changed the compost legislation, so we'll have that posted and the community garden will have their display, along with composting booklets to be picked up that you can sign up for the compost seminar that they're holding in May.

We will also have a display, not actually a display, but an explanation of what a rain garden is. That is in conjunction with the downspout disconnect program we passed which is very important on the south side where they have all the hills for their front yards. We'll have that legislation on hand so people can read that. In conjunction with the rain garden display, it is either going to be by Yard Master or Cuyahoga Soil and Water, we'll start to get an idea of how they can water their side yards by disconnecting their downspouts.

If you want a rain barrel you can call me at 216-486-9513; or if you want to sign up for the workshop, you may call Soil & Water at 524-6580, ask for Claire at Extension 16. The Library will be having only one barrel this year on display and there will be flyers that look like this. Grab one, order a barrel, save the rain, save the water for your garden. I'm sure our sewer and water bills will be going up soon so this will help. Thank you and goodnight.

Councilman O'Neill – I just want to send a personal message out to the law enforcement community in northeastern Ohio, northern Ohio on the loss of the Officer Andrew Dunn from the Sandusky police department. It is a deadly reminder that police officers and firefighters face death and certainly personal injury nearly everyday. Over 150 police officers are killed in the line of duty on a yearly basis and tragically this year it is above average. It is a reminder to the public that it is not a typical day at the office for police officers and firefighters. My prayers and thoughts are out to the family of Officer Dunn.

To Director Smith, rebuilding the press box at Euclid Memorial Park. It kind of sounds like we'll be pushing it to try to get that done for the Continental American Baseball Association tournament. Is there anywhere that we can come together between the city and CT and really put a push on this thing so we can get it done and basically show the people that come from out of state that the tragedy that happened last year is overcome by the enthusiasm of the residents and the people in the city that show Euclid is a good city and we provide them a better product when they come around this year for the world series. If there is something that we can do from a Council's standpoint or anything that I can personally do, please contact me. With that I'll say goodnight.

Councilman Wojtila – I would like to announce the Fullerwood Neighbors are having their meeting on March 24th at St. Stephens at 7:30. They do a good job of putting on the meetings and all the residents in the Fullerwood Neighbors Association are encouraged to attend. The only other comment is the Public Service Committee Meeting is April 6th at 6:30. I encourage all of those on the committee and the rest of Council to get your questions to the administration prior to the meeting because it is going to be a very technical meeting and that would only help to make things go smoother; April 6 at 6:30.

Councilman Langman – Director Smith, now that spring is here, how are we coming along with 248, what items are still open?

Director Smith – On 248 specifically we have the repair, seeding and mulching, tree planting. There will be a final inspection to make a determination if there's any damage or chipped concrete associated with the walk or aprons or roadway that needs replaced. I'm aware there are some minor landscaping items, some fence and things of that nature, possibly a little brick retaining wall needs to be put in place. Of course I'm aware of the final matter were you have raised the question on the paving of Ellsworth. I hope to have you an answer in the next week or so with regards to that.

Councilman Langman – I do appreciate that. For your information I've talked to several residents on the street and they're all very happy that they don't have wet basements any more so the improvements are most welcome. Those folks have been waiting for that for at least 20 years so they're very happy about that. I appreciate your efforts on their behalf.

Turning to the projects at Lake Shore Plaza and Shore Center, Director Smith, I have the notices of violations to the property owners. Where are we as far as working with the property owners and when can the public see some improvements or modifications to what's already there?

Director Smith – Again, Asst. Director Lee Bock was unable to be here and he probably has a better grasp of the timelines and so forth. From my vantage point, what I am aware of is the orders were issued on both plazas from the building official that provided an outline of the concerns in the areas of non-compliance. It also, as was discussed earlier tonight, gave the remedies that the owners or the representatives would have available to them. I'm aware with regards to the Lake Shore Plaza, the owner has brought on the architect on record to resubmit drawings. This is actually a second take at that as we had previously met with the architect and he had provided us with a very brief summary of what his intentions were. That was not sufficient. Thereafter I know he went back to the owner and/or the contractor and within the last week to approximately 10 days, a second set of drawings was submitted with regard to the Lake Shore Plaza and that is currently in the building officials hands, Mr. Kulchytsky and he's doing a review to ensure that everything does meet the code. I know we'll be meeting later this week with the building official and we'll have a determination at that time if the plans resubmitted suffice and address all the issues of non-compliance.

Councilman Langman – One question for Director Pietravoia. It is March Director Pietravoia and the last time we had JJR here they were looking at mid-March, late March, as far as completing the current phase. Can you give us a brief update?

Director Pietravoia – They have made significant progress in working with the permitting agencies, reviewing not only the plans for the proposed extension of the pier, but also the middle section where we're planning to reclaim the historic beaches that were there. We do have feedback from the permitting agencies, they've asked for some revisions. JJR plans to go back to the agencies with those revisions and as of our last conversation which was this past week, they would like to plan a trip with our steering committee and hopefully plan a meeting with the residents of the central section. We were looking at the 3rd or 4th week of April for that trip.

Councilman Langman – Very good, thank you Madame Chair.

Councilman Van Ho – First of all, at 7:00 on March 24th, Thursday the Observer Neighborhood Group will be meeting. For those of you who do not know who that is, it is the 232-237 streets that run between Babbitt and the North Marginal. It will be at the Library at 7:00 and they are always looking for additional people to join in. It is a very active neighborhood group.

Second of all, some of you may or may not know that I've set up a fund at 5/3 Bank here in Euclid, 22480 Lake Shore, called Nahara Needs. For those of you who don't know what Nahara is, that is a small town of about 8,000 people in Japan who are 11 miles from the reactors that you have been watching on TV that have been malfunctioning. Nahara is and was, when you go there it is rural community and they are some of the most loving people in the world. When Tara my middle daughter taught there for two years, we went over shortly after 9-11 to see her and to see the town and it was two Americans who could not speak a word of Japanese and those people just accepted us and made us feel so wanted. I know that if the tables were reversed and Perry had went down, they would be trying to do what they could to help their sister city. Any one who can donate any money at all, please stop over at 5/3. The tellers will take care of it whether it is cash, check or anything. If you don't want to go there, drop it off in the Council Office but I ask that you make any checks or that out to Nahara Needs, because those people need a lot. They may not even have a town to go back to by the end of this week because they're so close to those plants that the radiation is up there and they may not be able to go back for several years.

We keep in touch with a couple of the people there and have since Tara has been over there and I just can't stress enough how beautiful these people are. I know that Euclid is a giving town, because I've only done this one other time and it was for a Euclid resident and people just came out of the woodwork to help. I had hoped to raise a couple hundred and we raised like \$1200 to help this family. Please help them. I've heard people say they can take care of themselves because they're a developed country. Not when you're double whammy with an earthquake and then a tsunami right behind it. I've heard one of the most racist comments that I have ever heard and that is, they've got to much of our money from TV's and electronics. No they don't. These are farmers and craftsmen that are working in this town and they need help. Please reach out and help. Thank you and goodnight.

ADJOURNMENT

Councilwoman Minarik moved to adjourn. Councilwoman Jones seconded. Yeas: Unanimous.

Attest:

Clerk of Council

President of Council